This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/062,584	02/02/2002	Sterling J. Backus	C01.110	1955	
26344	7590 08/05/2003				
JENNIFER L			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
MOUNTAIN VIEW PLAZA 1520 EUCLID CIRCLE			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TUAN N	
LAFAYETTE	, CO 80026-1250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/062,584	BACKUS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	Tuan N Nguyen	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shi et with the c	rrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		2 .
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		Paulito
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.	UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .	IEAHARARA ATTIVI
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/2/02</u> is/are: a)⊠ accept		miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, vague, and confusing for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, **for example**.

Claims 1, 12 recite a single stage laser amplifying apparatus comprising: an oscillator amplifying input light; a pump laser for pumping; and an amplifier for amplifying the input light, the amplifier pumped by the pump laser. It is vague and indefinite as to what the pump laser is pumping; if the pump laser is pumping a beam to the amplifier, then it is not clear the difference between the amplifier and the oscillator assembly for providing input light to be amplified. It is vague and indefinite as to the location of the amplifier (inside/outside of the oscillator). Furthermore, claim 12 is vague and indefinite as to "the amplifier includes a cryogenically cooled amplifying medium", is the medium cooled (in a liquid or gas medium, or just cooled by thermal transmission) There is insufficient means, structure and functional relationship, which render the claims vague and indefinite. Claims 2-11 are rejected base on the same reason.

Claim 13 recites a method of amplifying a coherent light beam in a single stage, comprising the steps of: providing input light...; pumping light with pump laser; and amplifying the pumped light with an amplifier, wherein the amplifier includes a cryogenically-cooled

Art Unit: 2828

amplifying medium. It is vague and indefinite as to what means use for providing coherent input light, and is the pump laser pump additional light to combine with the coherent light?; Similar with claims 1, and 12. There is insufficient means, structure and functional relationship, which render the claims vague and indefinite. Claims 14-20 are rejected base on the same reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Mollenauer (US 39700960). Mollenauer '960 shows in figure 1 a single stage laser amplifying apparatus, comprising a pump laser, an oscillator assembly, and an amplifier having a medium being cooled cryogenically by Nitrogen (Fig 11-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 2828

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or non-obviousness.
- 6. Claims 2,3, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14,15, 18, 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mollenauer (US 39700960) in view of Weingarten et al (US 5987049) and Plaessmann et al. (US 5615043).

With respect to claims 8, 9, 11, 12, 20 Mollenauer '960 discloses the above except the amplifying medium selected from the following list; "a)...t) Weingarten '049 disclose the use of Ti:Al₂O₃ and other laser medium (Col 3: 50-52; Col 4: 45-55; Col 6: 5-15). For the benefit of a Ti:Al₂O₃ or other amplifying medium, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Mollenauer '960 the element as taught or suggested by Weingarten '049. It has been held, to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

With respect with claims 2, 3, 14,15 Weingarten '049 discloses the high output power more than 3watt (Col 2: 10-15) and M² approximately 1 (Col 2: 35-40).

With respect to claims 6, 18 Weingarten '049 shows in figure 1 the regenerative amplification.

7. Claims 4,5,7,16,17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mollenauer (US 39700960) in view of Weingarten et al (US 5987049) and Plaessmann et al. (US 5615043). Mollenauer '960 and Weingarten et al. discloses the above except the single pass and

Art Unit: 2828

multipass high gain configuration. Plaessmann '043 shows in (Fig 1-6) the single pass and

multipass high gain configuration. It would have been obvious to one skill in the art to use

Plaessmann '043 different passing configuration to amplify the signal.

Citation of Pertinent References

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. It is cited primarily to show the product of the instant invention.

Kubota et al. (US006240111B1), Knapp et al. (US 3638140), Masuda (US006055249A)

(US006249371B1)(US 20020171912A1) (US 20020034198A1) (US 20030099262A1), Sucov et

al. (US 3936772), Born et al. (US 4100507), Shackleford et al. (US 4119 929), Vetrovec

(US006154478A), Wada et al. (US006181461B1) discloses laser beam generating apparatus.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tuan N Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-0756. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30 - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Page 5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 6

Tuan N. Nguyen
Wan Mayye